Table 2.1: Fort Bragg 30-year monthly average precipitation

Fort Bragg Precipitation 30-year monthly averages (years 1971-2000).													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
30-year Monthly Avg (mm)	106.17	89.66	109.22	84.84	97.03	115.82	145.80	115.32	102.87	77.98	76.20	81.53	1202.44

Table 2.2: Fort Bragg 30-year Normal Monthly Mean Temperatures, Mean Monthly Temperatures and potential evapotranspiration.

Fort Bragg Temperature 30-year (1971-2000) Normal Monthly Mean Temperatures, Mean Monthly Temperatures and potential evapotranspiration (PET) calculated using the Hamon Method.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
30-year Monthly Mean (F)	42.9	46.1	53.5	61.8	69.9	77.4	81.3	79.5	73.7	62.7	53.7	45.9	62.37
Mean Daily Temp (F)	42.33	49.19	54.28	61.19	68.45	80.48	78.68	77.67	72.15	60.15	49.70	49.29	61.96
Mean Daily Temp (C)	5.74	9.55	12.38	16.22	20.25	26.93	25.93	25.37	22.30	15.64	9.83	9.61	16.65
Mean PET (mm/day)	1.322324	1.775561	2.308798	3.192825	4.277244	6.439223	5.962381	5.487112	4.288991	2.704192	1.739834	1.636921	3.427951

Table 4.1: Vegetation height map recoding values to simulate weighted runoff.

Vegetation Hei	ight Values	Weighted runoff as % rainfall excess	Surface description			
From	То					
-0.2600	0.0013	0.8000	bare soil (disturbed)			
0.0013	30.0000	0.2000	vegetation present			
0.0013	30.0000	0.2000	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			

Table 4.2: Cover factor recoding values to simulate surface types.

Vegetation He	eight Values	C-factor	Surface description
From	То		
-0.2600	0.0013	0.9000	bare soil (disturbed)
0.0013	0.5000	0.0130	grass; 0% canopy, 80% ground cover
0.5000	2.0000	0.0310	weeds to scrub; 75% canopy, 60% ground cover
2.0000	5.0000	0.0120	scrub to small trees; 75% canopy, 80% ground cover
5.0000	27.0000	0.0110	tall trees; 75% canopy, 95-100% ground cover

Table 5.1: Modeled results of spatially variable *Factor C* with weighted and non-weighted flow.

Spatially variable Factor C with weighted and non-weighted flow

	Real wo	rld DEM	Initial Mo	odel State	Fill Dam 1		Fill I	Dam 2	Fill Dam 3		Grade 3		Rip Rap	
	non- weighted flow	weighted flow												
Soil loss potential tons/(acre.year)	39.34	31.90	35.72	29.11	40.63	32.47	41.11	32.93	38.45	31.08	41.42	33.74	37.95	31.22
Percent change from real world			-9.18	-8.72										
Percent change from initial model state					13.74	11.52	15.09	13.12	7.63	6.76	15.95	15.90	6.22	7.22

Table 5.2: Modeled results of variable erosion based on flow concentration with spatially variable *Factor C*.

Variable Erosion based on flow concentration with spatially variable Factor C

	Real wor	rld DEM	Initial Mo	odel State	Fill D	Dam 1	Fill D	am 2	Fill D	am 3	Gra	de 3	Rip	Rap
		erosion		erosion		erosion		erosion		erosion		erosion		erosion
	erosion	in	erosion	in	erosion	in	erosion	in	erosion	in	erosion	in	erosion	in
	in light	concen-	in light	concen-	in light	concen-	in light	concen-	in light	concen-	in light	concen-	in light	concen-
	flow	trated	flow	trated	flow	trated	flow	trated	flow	trated	flow	trated	flow	trated
	areas	flow	areas	flow	areas	flow	areas	flow	areas	flow	areas	flow	areas	flow
		areas		areas		areas		areas		areas		areas		areas
Soil loss potential tons/(acre.year)	26.32	450.28	24.28	439.27	24.54	570.14	25.01	579.54	24.47	530.28	26.73	497.94	24.41	541.64
Percent change from eal world			-7.75	-2.45										
Percent change from nitial model state					1.06	29.79	3.00	31.93	0.78	20.72	10.11	13.36	0.53	23.31

Table 5.3: Modeled results of uniform  $Factor\ C = 0.1$  with weighted and non-weighted flow.

Uniform Factor C = 0.1 with weighted and non-weighted flow

	Real wo	rld DEM	Initial Model State		Fill I	Dam 1	Fill D	Dam 2	Fill Dam 3		Grade 3		Rip Rap	
	non- weighted flow	weighted flow												
Soil loss potential tons/(acre.year)	8.44	6.26	7.74	5.81	8.23	5.93	8.34	6.03	7.99	5.83	8.51	6.29	8.41	6.35
Percent change from real world			-8.28	-7.23										
Percent change from initial model state					6.32	2.02	7.70	3.75	3.18	0.35	9.94	8.21	8.65	9.35

Table 5.4: Soil loss potential for each modeled scenario.

	Soil loss potential tons/(acre.year)	Model Parameters
		Spatially Variable Factor C
_	31.90	weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
Real	37.31	
		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
World <sup>-</sup>	6.26	weighted flow
	8.44	non-weighted flow
<b>DEM</b>		
_	26.22	Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas soil loss in concentrated flow areas
	450.28	son loss in concentrated now areas
		Spatially Variable Factor C
	31.90	weighted flow
Initial	39.34	non-weighted flow
		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
Model <sup>–</sup>	6.26	weighted flow
State	8.44	non-weighted flow
State _		Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas
	450.28	soil loss in concentrated flow areas
		Spatially Variable Factor C
_	31.90	weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
Fill _		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
D 1	6.26	weighted flow
Dam 1	8.44	non-weighted flow
_		Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas
	450.28	soil loss in concentrated flow areas
		Spatially Variable Factor C
_	31.90	weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
Fill _		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
D 2	6.26	weighted flow
Dam 2	8.44	non-weighted flow
_	26.22	Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas soil loss in concentrated flow areas
	450.28	son ioss in concentrated flow areas

	Soil loss potential tons/(acre.year)	Model Parameters
		Spatially Variable Factor C
	31.90	weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
Fill		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
	6.26	weighted flow
Dam 3	8.44	non-weighted flow
		Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas
	450.28	soil loss in concentrated flow areas
		C. C. I. V. J. II. F. A. C
_	31.90	Spatially Variable Factor C weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
~	57.6.	Uniform Factor C = 0.1
Grade 3	6.26	weighted flow
	8.44	non-weighted flow
		Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas
	450.28	soil loss in concentrated flow areas
		Spatially Variable Factor C
	31.90	weighted flow
	39.34	non-weighted flow
		Uniform Factor C = 0.1
Rip Rap <sup>–</sup>	6.26	weighted flow
I I	8.44	non-weighted flow
		Spatially Variable C (non-weighted flow)
	26.32	soil loss in light flow areas
	20.32	soil loss in concentrated flow areas